

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/705,242	Applicant(s) LLOYD ET AL.	
	Examiner Sangwoo Ahn	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

(1) Sangwoo Ahn.

(2) Larry S. Nixon (Reg. No. 25,640).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 February 2008
Time: 5:25PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Restriction Requirement

Claims discussed:

108 - 123

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained to Mr. Nixon that the newly added claims 108 - 123 contain the same subject matter recited in the previously restricted claims (84 - 101) which have been canceled. Examiner and Mr. Nixon acknowledged that Invention I (claims 1-16, 18-30, 32-39, 41-73, 77-79, 102-107, 124-125) will be examined while Invention II (claims 108-123) is withdrawn from consideration, but once any generic claim is found allowable, the patentably distinct Invention II comprising claims 108-123 are also allowable.